



**Matching Funds Workgroup for the Environmental Committee**  
 Meeting Minutes from August 25, 2006, 1-3pm  
Port of San Diego Administration Building, Conference Room 3

**Attendees:**

Laura Hunter, Jim Peugh, Andy Yuen, Megan Johnson, David Merk, Eileen Maher

Laura Hunter suggestions:

- 1.) Identify source of funds (i.e. - APCD grants, legislative money, Special Environment Program funding, State Bonds, re-grants, existing fund sources, Navy)
- 2.) Demonstrate success of combined funding (present successful projects which had multi funding).
- 3.) Recommend ways to address matching funds to the criteria workgroup. Matching funding is a high priority.
- 4.) Recommend a way to include other entities (i.e. NGO's, Scripps – to do projects)

**Example of Sources of Funding List:**

Source	Agency	Funding	Matching Req's	Type of Project	Member that got funding?
The name of funding source	Agency name	The amount funded	Matching fund requirements, if any	Types of projects (i.e. Research, Education, etc...)	Who on the Environmental Committee received this money.

**To Do Grant List:**

- Laura Hunter- provide funding sources for energy and air quality, get data on IBEW energy installation, do PowerPoint that shows projects that have been jointly funded, contact Paradise Creek
- Mike McCoy- to send Laura info on the Napolatino and Model Marsh success including before and after photos, and who contributed to funding
- Megan Johnson- get info on Coastal Conservancy projects
- Andy Yuen/Gabriel Solmer- provide education funding grant examples
- Andy Yuen- email info on Bolsa Chica
- Jim Peugh- provide info on Famosa Slough to Laura

List of projects- put list together with matching funds (ex. Model marsh, Seacoast Dr.)  
 Rachel Verhoeks/Eileen Maher- will enter info into spreadsheet, both grant and project lists

**Recommendations to Selection Criteria Workgroup**

The Matching Funds Workgroup recommends the following:

- 1.) That the funds received from the BPC be unrestricted or designated, but those that include matching funds be higher priority.
- 2.) No restricted money, so don't preclude maintenance
- 3.) Three types of categories related to funding (Port funded, Matching funds, and Payback/Reinvested funds)

The Matching Funds Workgroup felt that the ability to be flexible about who applied for a grant and who did the project was an important aspect of increasing benefits of the funding. They recommend the Port allow projects to be executed in, at least, the following possible ways:

- 1.) Port Only Project- funded/managed by Port (ex. Following Port contracting process, hire contractor and install solar film on Port building)
- 2.) Government Agency Project (ex. Navy matches Environmental Fund money to enhance fisheries, etc)
- 3.) Natural Resource Agency Project (ex. USFWS matches Environmental Fund money to restore tidal flow)

- 4.) NGO Project (ex. PTA matches environmental fund trash removal from J St. Marsh, or Coastkeeper receives Environmental Fund money, matches it with City, and applies for Port and matching funds to teach the Think Blue curriculum)
- 5.) Research Institution - SDSU, Scripps to do studies in the Bay (ex. Eelgrass, geotech)

**Vision:** The Port Environmental Fund program has a vision of environmental stewardship that goes "beyond compliance". The Port seeks to partner with the many entities that are working to enhance environmental quality and to lend its expertise and resources to achieve tangible environmental results.

**Trust:** Will be built by developing a strong Environmental Committee that can build project successes for the Bay.

**Teamwork:** Projects will be developed in a manner that allows the most flexibility in executing projects through strong and supportive teamwork with other stakeholders around the Bay.